arXiv:1205.0772v2 [math.AC] 8 May 2012

COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS IN BINOMIAL AND LATTICE IDEALS

HIRAM H. LÓPEZ AND RAFAEL H. VILLARREAL

Abstract. For the family of graded lattice ideals of dimension 1, we establish a complete intersection criterion in algebraic and geometric terms. In positive characteristic, it is shown that all ideals of this family are binomial set theoretic complete intersections. In characteristic zero, we show that an arbitrary lattice ideal which is a binomial set theoretic complete intersection is a complete intersection.

1. Introduction

This work was motivated in large part by the interest in two classes of 1-dimensional graded lattice ideals, namely, the family of vanishing ideals of projective algebraic toric sets over finite fields and the family of toric ideals of monomial curves over arbitrary fields. Our main results will apply to these families.

Let $S = K[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$ be a positively graded polynomial ring over a field K. As usual, by the *dimension* of an ideal $L \subset S$ we mean the Krull dimension of the quotient ring S/L. In this paper we study the family of graded lattice ideals $L \subset S$ satisfying that $V(L, t_i) = \{0\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$, where $V(L, t_i)$ is the zero-set of the ideal (L, t_i). An ideal is in this family if and only if it is a 1-dimensional graded lattice ideal (Proposition 2.9). One of our main result is a classification of the complete intersection property for this family of ideals (Theorem 2.12). Using a result of [20], we show that in positive characteristic all ideals in this family are binomial set theoretic complete intersections (Proposition 2.15).

If $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$, using commutative algebra, we show that an arbitrary lattice ideal which is a binomial set theoretic complete intersection is a complete intersection (Theorem 2.16). This result complements [23, Corollary 3.10], where the lattice ideal is taken with respect to a partial character but the lattice ideal is assumed to be positive. Another result in this area shows that any primary binomial ideal over a field of characteristic zero is radical [5, Proposition 2.3]. This type of results were inspired by [3, Theorem 4], where it was shown that if a toric ideal is a binomial set theoretic complete intersection and $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$, then it is a complete intersection.

Complete intersection lattice ideals have been characterized in [14, 20, 23], in terms of semigroup gluing, mixed dominating matrices and polyhedral geometry. Since our methods of proof are entirely different to those of [14, 20, 23], we hope that our approach can be used to examine some other problems in the area from another perspective.

The rest of this paper is about applications and links of our results to toric ideals of monomial curves and to vanishing ideals over finite fields. Vanishing ideals are connected to coding theory as is seen below.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F20; Secondary 14H45, 13P25, 11T71.

Key words and phrases. Complete intersections, lattice ideals, binomial ideals, evaluation codes, monomial curves, toric ideals, vanishing ideals.

The second author was partially supported by SNI.

In Section 3, we recover a result of [5] that characterizes complete intersection toric ideals of monomial curves over arbitrary fields (Corollary 3.1). This result was used in [5] to give a classification of the complete intersection property using the notion of a binary tree. This classification was adapted in [4] to give an effective algorithm that checks the complete intersection property.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements and let $v_1, \ldots, v_s$ be a sequence of vectors in $\mathbb{N}^n$. Consider the *projective algebraic toric set*

$$X := \{[(x_1^{v_{11}} \cdots x_n^{v_{1n}}, \ldots, x_1^{v_{s1}} \cdots x_n^{v_{sn}})] \,|\, x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \text{ for all } i\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$$

parameterized monomials, where $v_i = (v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{in})$, $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ is a projective space over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$. The set X is a multiplicative group under componentwise multiplication.

Let $S = \mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s] = \oplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_d$ be a polynomial ring over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with the standard grading. The *vanishing ideal* of X, denoted by $I(X)$, is the ideal of S generated by the homogeneous polynomials that vanish on X. It is known that $I(X)$ is a radical 1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay lattice ideal [15, 24]. For this class of ideals not much is known about the complete intersection property. The first result in this direction appears recently in [25], where it is shown that if X is parameterized by the edges of a simple hypergraph, then $I(X)$ is a complete intersection if and only if X is a projective torus.

The complete intersection property of $I(X)$ is relevant from the viewpoint of algebraic coding theory as we now briefly explain. Roughly speaking, an *evaluation code* over X of degree d is a linear space obtained by evaluating all homogeneous d-forms of S on the set of points $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ (see [8, 17]). An evaluation code over X of degree d has *length* $|X|$ and *dimension* $\dim_K(S/I(X))_d$. The main parameters (length, dimension, minimum distance) of evaluation codes arising from complete intersections have been studied in [2, 8, 17, 18, 25].

As a consequence of one of our results, in Section 4, we characterize the complete intersection property of $I(X)$ in algebraic and geometric terms (Corollary 4.3).

For all unexplained terminology and additional information, we refer to [9, 21, 27] (for the theory of binomial and lattice ideals) and [6] (for commutative algebra).

2. Complete intersections

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in Section 1. In this section we characterize the complete intersection property of the family of all graded lattice ideals of dimension 1. If $\operatorname{char}(K) > 0$, we show that all ideals of this family are binomial set theoretic complete intersections. In characteristic zero, we show that an arbitrary lattice ideal which is a binomial set theoretic complete intersection is a complete intersection.

Recall that a binomial in S is a polynomial of the form $t^a - t^b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^s$ and where, if $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$, we set

$$t^a = t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s} \in S.$$

A binomial of the form $t^a - t^b$ is usually referred to as a *pure binomial* [9], although here we are dropping the adjective "pure". A *binomial ideal* is an ideal generated by binomials.

Given $c = (c_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, we set $\operatorname{supp}(c) = \{i \,|\, c_i \neq 0\}$. The set $\operatorname{supp}(c)$ is called the *support* of c. The vector c can be uniquely written as $c = c^+ - c^-$, where c^+ and c^- are two nonnegative vectors with disjoint support, the *positive* and the *negative* part of c respectively. If t^a is a monomial, with $a = (a_i) \in \mathbb{N}^s$, we set $\operatorname{supp}(t^a) = \{t_i |\, a_i > 0\}$. If $f = t^a - t^b$ is a binomial, we set $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(t^a) \cup \operatorname{supp}(t^b)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{Z}^s$ be a *lattice*, that is, $\mathcal{L}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^s$. The *lattice ideal* of $\mathcal{L}$ is the binomial ideal

$$I(\mathcal{L}) = (\{t^{a^+} - t^{a^-} \,|\, a \in \mathcal{L}\}) \subset S.$$

This concept is a natural generalization of a toric ideal [27, Corollary 7.1.4]. Lattice ideals have been studied extensively, see [9, 13, 21] and the references there.

The following is a well known description of lattice ideals that follows from [9, Corollary 2.5].

Theorem 2.2. [9] *If L is a binomial ideal of S, then L is a lattice ideal if and only if t_i is a non-zero divisor of S/L for all i.*

Lemma 2.3. *If a, α_i, b, β_i are in $\mathbb{N}^s$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $a - b$ is in the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^s$ generated by $\alpha_1 - \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_r - \beta_r$, then there is $t^\delta \in S$ such that*

$$t^\delta(t^a - t^b) \in (t^{\alpha_1} - t^{\beta_1}, \ldots, t^{\alpha_r} - t^{\beta_r}).$$

Proof. We set $f = t^a - t^b$ and $g_i = t^{\alpha_i} - t^{\beta_i}$. There are integers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$ such that

$$(t^a/t^b) - 1 = \left(t^{\alpha_1}/t^{\beta_1}\right)^{\lambda_1} \cdots \left(t^{\alpha_r}/t^{\beta_r}\right)^{\lambda_r} - 1.$$

We may assume that $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all i by replacing, if necessary, t^{α_i}/t^{β_i} by its inverse. Hence, writing $t^{\alpha_i}/t^{\beta_i} = ((t^{\alpha_i}/t^{\beta_i}) - 1) + 1$ and using the binomial theorem, it follows that $t^\delta f$ is in the ideal $(g_1, \ldots, g_r)$ for some monomial t^δ. □

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^s$. Following [10], we define an equivalence relation $\sim_\mathcal{G}$ on the set of monomials of S by $t^a \sim_\mathcal{G} t^b$ if and only if $a - b \in \mathcal{G}$. A non-zero polynomial $f = \sum_a \lambda_a t^a$ is *simple* with respect to $\sim_\mathcal{G}$ if all its monomials with non-zero coefficient are equivalent under $\sim_\mathcal{G}$. An arbitrary non-zero polynomial f in S is uniquely expressed as: $f = f_1 + \cdots + f_m$ such that f_i is simple and if $i \neq j$ and t^a, t^b are monomials in f_i and f_j respectively, then $t^a \not\sim_\mathcal{G} t^b$. We call $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ the *simple components* of f with respect to $\sim_\mathcal{G}$.

Given a binomial $g = t^a - t^b$, we set $\widehat{g} = a - b$. If B is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^s$, $\langle B \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^s$ generated by B.

For convenience we recall the following result about the behaviour of simple components.

Lemma 2.4. [12, Lemma 2.2] *Let I be a binomial ideal of S generated by a set of binomials $g_1, \ldots, g_r$. If $0 \neq f \in I$ and $\mathcal{G} = \langle \widehat{g}_1, \ldots, \widehat{g}_r \rangle$, then any simple component of f with respect to $\sim_\mathcal{G}$ belongs to I.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{Z}^s$ be a lattice and let $I(\mathcal{L})$ be its lattice ideal. If $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ is a set of binomials that generate $I(\mathcal{L})$, then $\mathcal{L} = \langle \widehat{g}_1, \ldots, \widehat{g}_r \rangle$. In particular if L is a lattice ideal, there is a unique lattice $\mathcal{L}$ such that $L = I(\mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. Consider the lattice $\mathcal{G} = \langle \widehat{g}_1, \ldots, \widehat{g}_r \rangle$. First we show the inclusion $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{G}$. Take $0 \neq a \in \mathcal{L}$. We can write $a = a^+ - a^-$. Then, $f = t^{a^+} - t^{a^-}$ is in $I(\mathcal{L}) = (g_1, \ldots, g_r)$. By Lemma 2.4, any simple component of f with respect to $\sim_\mathcal{G}$ is also in $(g_1, \ldots, g_r)$. Since t^{a^+} and t^{a^-} are not in $I(\mathcal{L})$, then f is a simple component of f with respect to $\sim_\mathcal{G}$, i.e., $a = a^+ - a^- \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus, $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{G}$. To show the other inclusion notice that a binomial $t^a - t^b$ is in $I(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if $a - b \in \mathcal{L}$. This follows from Lemma 2.4. Hence, $a_i - b_i \in \mathcal{L}$ for all i, i.e., $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}$. □

The *affine space* of dimension s over K, denoted by $\mathbb{A}^s_K$, is the cartesian product K^s of s-copies of K. Given a subset $I \subset S$ its *zero set* or *variety*, denoted by $V(I)$, is the set of all $a \in \mathbb{A}^s_K$ such that $f(a) = 0$ for all $f \in I$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let I be a binomial ideal of S such that $V(I,t_i)=\{0\}$ for all i. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal containing (I,t_m) for some $1\leq m\leq s$, then $\mathfrak{p}=(t_1,\ldots,t_s)$.*

Proof. Let $h_1,\ldots,h_r$ be a generating set for I consisting of binomials. For simplicity of notation assume that $m=1$. We may assume that $t_1,\ldots,t_k$ are in $\mathfrak{p}$ and $t_i\notin\mathfrak{p}$ for $i>k$. If $t_i\in\operatorname{supp}(h_j)$ for some $1\leq i\leq k$, say $h_j=t^{a_j}-t^{b_j}$ and $t_i\in\operatorname{supp}(t^{a_j})$, then $t^{b_j}\in\mathfrak{p}$ and there is $1\leq\ell\leq k$ such that t_ℓ is in the support of t^{b_j}. Thus, $h_j\subset(t_1,\ldots,t_k)$. Hence, for each $1\leq j\leq r$, either

(i) $\operatorname{supp}(h_j)\cap\{t_1,\ldots,t_k\}=\emptyset$ or
(ii) $h_j\in(t_1,\ldots,t_k)$.

Consider the point $c=(c_i)\in\mathbb{A}_K^s$, with $c_i=0$ for $i\leq k$ and $c_i=1$ for $i>k$. If (i) occurs, then $h_j(c)=(t^{a_j}-t^{b_j})(c)=1-1=0$. If (ii) occurs, then $h_j(c)=(t^{a_j}-t^{b_j})(c)=0-0=0$. Clearly the polynomial t_1 vanishes at c. Hence, $c\in V(I,t_1)=\{0\}$. Therefore, $k=s$. Thus, $\mathfrak{p}$ contains all the variables of S, i.e., $\mathfrak{p}=(t_1,\ldots,t_s)$. □

Definition 2.7. Let $\omega=(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_s)$ be an integral vector with positive entries. A lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is called *homogeneous* with respect to ω if $\langle\omega,a\rangle=0$ for $a\in\mathcal{L}$.

A lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is homogeneous with respect to ω if and only if its lattice ideal $I(\mathcal{L})$ is graded with respect to the grading of S induced by setting $\deg(t_i)=\omega_i$ for $i=1,\ldots,s$. The standard grading of S is obtained when $\omega=(1,\ldots,1)$. In what follows by a graded ideal of S we mean an ideal which is graded with respect to the grading of S induced by a vector ω.

Remark 2.8. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a homogeneous lattice in $\mathbb{Z}^s$ of rank $s-1$, then $S/I(\mathcal{L})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension 1. This follows from Theorem 2.2 and using the fact that the height of $I(\mathcal{L})$ is the rank of $\mathcal{L}$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $I\subset S$ be a graded binomial ideal.* (a) *If $V(I,t_i)=\{0\}$ for all i, then* $\operatorname{ht}(I)=s-1$. (b) *If I is a lattice ideal and* $\operatorname{ht}(I)=s-1$, *then $V(I,t_i)=\{0\}$ for all i.*

Proof. (a) As I is graded, all associated prime ideal of S/I are graded. Thus, all associated prime ideals of S/I are contained in $\mathfrak{m}=(t_1,\ldots,t_s)$. If $\operatorname{ht}(I)=s$, then $\mathfrak{m}$ would be the only associated prime of S/I, that is, $\mathfrak{m}$ is the radical of I, a contradiction because I cannot contain a power of t_i for any i. Thus, $\operatorname{ht}(I)\leq s-1$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6, the ideal (I,t_s) has height s. Hence, $s=\operatorname{ht}(I,t_s)\leq\operatorname{ht}(I)+1$. Altogether, we get $\operatorname{ht}(I)=s-1$.

(b) Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the lattice that defines I and let $g_1,\ldots,g_r$ be a generating set for I consisting of homogeneous binomials. By Lemma 2.5, one has the equality $\mathcal{L}=\langle\widehat{g}_1,\ldots,\widehat{g}_r\rangle$. Notice that $s-1=\operatorname{ht}(I)=\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{L})$. Given two distinct integers $1\leq i,k\leq s$, the vector space $\mathbb{Q}^s$ is generated by $e_k,\widehat{g}_1,\ldots,\widehat{g}_r$. Hence, as $\mathcal{L}$ is homogeneous with respect to $\omega=(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_s)$, there are positive integers r_i and r_k such that $r_ie_i-r_ke_k\in\mathcal{L}$ and $r_i\omega_i-r_k\omega_k=0$. By Lemma 2.3, there is t^δ such that $t^\delta(t_i^{r_i}-t_k^{r_k})$ is in I. Hence, by Theorem 2.2, $t_i^{r_i}-t_k^{r_k}$ is in I. Therefore, $V(I,t_i)=\{0\}$ for $i=1,\ldots,s$. □

Definition 2.10. An ideal $I\subset S$ is called a *complete intersection* if there exists $g_1,\ldots,g_r$ in S such that $I=(g_1,\ldots,g_r)$, where r is the height of I.

Recall that a graded ideal I is a complete intersection if and only if I is generated by a homogeneous regular sequence with $\operatorname{ht}(I)$ elements (see [27, Proposition 1.3.17, Lemma 1.3.18]).

Lemma 2.11. *Let $I\subset S$ be a graded binomial ideal. If $V(I,t_i)=\{0\}$ for all i and I is Cohen-Macaulay, then I is a lattice ideal.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.9(a), the height of I is $s-1$. It suffices to prove that t_i is a non-zero divisor of S/I for all i (see Theorem 2.2). If t_i is a zero divisor of S/I for some i, there is an associated prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of S/I containing (I, t_i). Hence, using Lemma 2.6, we get that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction because I is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height $s-1$ and all associated prime ideals of I have height equal to $s-1$ (see [27, Proposition 1.3.22]). $\square$

We come to one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.12. *Let L be the lattice ideal of a homogeneous lattice $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^s$. If $V(L, t_i) = \{0\}$ for all i, then L is a complete intersection if and only if there are homogeneous binomials $h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}$ in L satisfying the following conditions*:

(i) $\mathcal{L} = \langle \widehat{h}_1, \ldots, \widehat{h}_{s-1} \rangle$.
(ii) $V(h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}, t_i) = \{0\}$ *for all i.*
(iii) $h_i = t^{a_i^+} - t^{a_i^-}$ *for $i = 1, \ldots, s-1$.*

Proof. As $\mathcal{L}$ is homogeneous, there is an integral vector $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_s)$ with positive entries such that $\langle \omega, a \rangle = 0$ for $a \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, its lattice ideal L is graded with respect to the grading of S induced by setting $\deg(t_i) = \omega_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. By Proposition 2.9, the height of L is $s-1$.

$\Rightarrow$) Since L is a graded binomial ideal which is a complete intersection, it is well known that L is an ideal generated by homogeneous binomials $h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}$ (see for instance [27, Lemma 2.2.16]). Then, by Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 2.2, (i) and (iii) hold. From the equality $(L, t_i) = (h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}, t_i)$, we get

$$\{0\} = V(L, t_i) = V(h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}, t_i).$$

Thus, $V(h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}, t_i) = \{0\}$ for all i, i.e., (ii) holds.

$\Leftarrow$) We set $I = (h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1})$. By hypothesis $I \subset L$. Thus, we need only show the inclusion $L \subset I$. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ be a generating set of L consisting of binomial such that $\widehat{g}_i \in \mathcal{L}$ for all i. Using condition (i) and Lemma 2.3, for each i there is a monomial t^{γ_i} such that $t^{\gamma_i} g_i \in I$. Hence, $t^{\gamma} L \subset I$, where t^{γ} is equal to $t^{\gamma_1} \cdots t^{\gamma_m}$. By (ii) and Proposition 2.9, the height of I is $s-1$. This means that I is a complete intersection. Hence, I is Cohen-Macaulay. As $t^{\gamma} L \subset I$, to show the inclusion $L \subset I$, it suffices to notice that by (ii) and Lemma 2.11 t_i is a non-zero divisor of S/I for all i. $\square$

Remark 2.13. The result remains valid if we remove condition (iii), i.e., condition (iii) is redundant. In both implications of the theorem the set $h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}$ is shown to generate L.

Definition 2.14. An ideal I is called a *binomial set theoretic complete intersection* if there are binomials $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ such that $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \operatorname{rad}(g_1, \ldots, g_r)$, where r is the height of I.

The next result gives a family of binomial set theoretic complete intersections. We show this result using a theorem of Katsabekis, Morales and Thoma [20, Theorem 4.4(2)].

Proposition 2.15. *If K is a field of positive characteristic and $L \subset S$ is a graded lattice ideal of dimension* 1*, then L is a binomial set theoretic complete intersection.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the homogeneous lattice of $\mathbb{Z}^s$ such that $L = I(\mathcal{L})$. Notice that $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice of rank $s-1$ because $\operatorname{ht}(L) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{L})$. Thus, there is an isomorphism of groups $\psi\colon \mathbb{Z}^s/\operatorname{Sat}(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathbb{Z}$, where $\operatorname{Sat}(\mathcal{L})$ is the saturation of $\mathcal{L}$ consisting of all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ such that $da \in \mathcal{L}$ for some $0 \neq d \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq s$, we set $a_i = \psi(e_i + \operatorname{Sat}(\mathcal{L}))$, where e_i is the *ith* unit vector in $\mathbb{Z}^s$. Following [20], the multiset $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}$ is called the configuration of vectors associated to $\mathcal{L}$. Recall that $s-1=\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{L})$. Hence, as $\mathcal{L}$ is homogeneous with respect to $\omega=(\omega_1,\dots,\omega_s)$, there are positive integers r_i and r_k such that $r_ie_i-r_ke_k\in\mathcal{L}$ and $r_i\omega_i-r_k\omega_k=0$. Thus, $r_ia_i=r_ka_k$ and a_i has the same sign as a_k. This means that $a_1,\dots,a_s$ are all positive or all negative. It follows that A is a full configuration in the sense of [20, Definition 4.3]. Thus, $I(\mathcal{L})$ is a binomial set theoretic complete intersection by [20, Theorem 4.4(2)] and its proof. □

We come to another of our main results.

Theorem 2.16. *Let $L\subset S$ be an arbitrary lattice ideal of height r. If $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$ and $\operatorname{rad}(L)=\operatorname{rad}(g_1,\dots,g_r)$ for some binomials $g_1,\dots,g_r$, then $L=(g_1,\dots,g_r)$.*

Proof. Consider the binomial ideal $I=(g_1,\dots,g_r)$, where $g_i=t^{a_i}-t^{b_i}$ for $i=1,\dots,r$. Since $\operatorname{rad}(I)$ is again a binomial ideal (see [16, Theorem 9.4 and Corollary 9.12]), we may assume that $\operatorname{rad}(I)$ is generated by a set of binomials $\{h_1,\dots,h_m\}$. From [16, Corollary 9.12, p. 106], it is seen that any lattice ideal over a field K of characteristic zero is radical, i.e., $\operatorname{rad}(L)=L$. Let

$$I=\mathfrak{q}_1\cap\cdots\cap\mathfrak{q}_p \tag{2.1}$$

be a primary decomposition of I. Since I is an ideal of height r generated by r elements and S is Cohen-Macaulay, by the unmixedness theorem [6, Theorem 2.1.6], I has no embedded primes. Hence, $\operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{q}_i)=\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a minimal prime of both I and L for $i=1,\dots,p$. Since $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$, by [5, Lemma 2.2], we have the equality

$$\langle\widehat{g}_1,\dots,\widehat{g}_r\rangle=\langle\widehat{h}_1,\dots,\widehat{h}_m\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

The inclusion $I\subset L$ is clear. We now show the reverse inclusion. Take a binomial h in L. Since L is generated by $h_1,\dots,h_m$, by Lemma 2.5, the lattice that defines L is $\langle\widehat{h}_1,\dots,\widehat{h}_m\rangle$. Therefore, using Eq. (2.2) and Lemma 2.3, we get that there is a monomial t^α so that $t^\alpha h\in I$. Thus, by Eq. (2.1), $t^\alpha h\in\mathfrak{q}_i$ for all i. If $t^\alpha=1$, then $h\in I$ and there is nothing to prove. Assume that $t^\alpha\neq 1$. It suffices to prove that h belongs to $\mathfrak{q}_i$ for all i. If $h\notin\mathfrak{q}_i$ for some i, then $(t^\alpha)^\ell\in\mathfrak{q}_i$ and consequently $\mathfrak{p}_i$ must contain at least one variable t_k. Since $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a minimal prime of L, all its elements are zero divisors of S/L. In particular t_k must be a zero divisor of S/L, a contradiction because L is a lattice ideal and none of the variables of S can be a zero divisor of S/L (see Theorem 2.2). □

Example 2.17. Let $S=\mathbb{Q}[t_1,t_2,t_3]$. The ideal $I=(t_1^2-t_2t_3,t_1^2-t_1t_2)$ has height 2 is not a lattice ideal and $V(I,t_1)\neq\{0\}$, that is, Proposition 2.9(b) only holds for lattice ideals.

Example 2.18. Let $S=\mathbb{Q}[t_1,t_2,t_3]$. The ideal $I=(t_1^2-t_2t_3,t_2^2-t_3^2)$ has height 2 and $V(I,t_i)=\{0\}$ for all i. Thus, by Lemma 2.11, I is a lattice ideal.

3. Toric ideals of monomial curves

Let K be a field and let $\omega_1,\dots,\omega_s$ be a sequence of positive integers. For use below consider the homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules $\psi\colon\mathbb{Z}^s\to\mathbb{Z}$, $e_i\mapsto\omega_i$, and consider the *toric ideal* P of the monomial curve

$$\Gamma=\{(x_1^{\omega_1},\dots,x_1^{\omega_s})\,|\,x_1\in K\},$$

i.e., P is the *toric ideal* of the semigroup ring

$$K[\mathcal{S}]=K[y_1^{\omega_1},\dots,y_1^{\omega_s}]\subset K[y_1],$$

where $\mathcal{S}=\mathbb{N}\omega_1+\cdots+\mathbb{N}\omega_s$ is the semigroup generated by $\{\omega_1,\dots,\omega_s\}$ and $K[\mathcal{S}]$ is the semigroup ring of $\mathcal{S}$. Recall that P is the kernel of the epimorphism of K-algebras:

$$\varphi\colon K[t_1,\dots,t_s]\longrightarrow K[\mathcal{S}],\qquad f\overset{\varphi}{\longmapsto}f(y_1^{\omega_1},\dots,y_1^{\omega_s}).$$

According to [27, Corollary 7.1.4], the toric ideal P is the lattice ideal of the homogeneous lattice $\mathcal{L}_1 = \ker(\psi)$ with respect to the vector $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_s)$. This type of toric ideals were first studied by Herzog in [19] for $s = 3$, the first non-trivial case. They have been studied by many authors [4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 19, 22, 26].

Corollary 3.1. [5] *P is a complete intersection if and only if there are homogeneous binomials $g_1, \ldots, g_{s-1}$ in P, with $g_i = t^{a_i^+} - t^{a_i^-}$ for all i, such that the following holds*:

(a) $\mathcal{L}_1 = \langle \widehat{g}_1, \ldots, \widehat{g}_{s-1} \rangle$, *where* $\mathcal{L}_1 = \ker(\psi)$.
(b) $V(g_1, \ldots, g_{s-1}, t_i) = \{0\}$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, s$.

Proof. By the comments above $P = I(\mathcal{L}_1)$. The binomial $t_i^{\omega_j} - t_j^{\omega_i}$ is in P for all i, j. Thus, $V(I(\mathcal{L}_1), t_i) = \{0\}$ for all i. Then, the result follows from Theorem 2.12. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. [22] *If* $\mathrm{char}(K) > 0$, *then P is a binomial set theoretic complete intersection.*

Proof. As seen above P is a 1-dimensional graded lattice ideal [15, 24]. Thus, the result follows at once from Proposition 2.15. $\square$

A nice constructive proof of this result was given in [1].

4. VANISHING IDEALS OVER FINITE FIELDS

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in Sections 1 and 2. In this section we characterize the complete intersection property of vanishing ideals over algebraic toric sets parameterized by monomials. Throughout this section we assume that the polynomial ring S has the standard grading induced by the vector $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)$. If $a \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, we set $|a| = \langle a, \mathbf{1} \rangle$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be any field and let $L \subset S$ be a lattice ideal generated by $\mathcal{B} = \{t^{a_i} - t^{b_i}\}_{i=1}^r$. Then,* (a) *$L = I(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^s$ generated by $\{a_i - b_i\}_{i=1}^r$, and* (b) *if $t^{a_i} - t^{b_i}$ is homogeneous for all i and $f = t^c - t^d \in L$, then f is homogeneous.*

Proof. Part (a) follows from Lemma 2.5 (cf. [21, Lemma 7.6]). To show (b) notice that, from part (a), $f \in I(\mathcal{L})$. Then, $c - d$ is a linear combination of $\{a_i - b_i\}_{i=1}^r$. Thus, if $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)$, we get that $|c| - |d|$ is equal to $\langle \mathbf{1}, c - d \rangle = 0$ because $\langle \mathbf{1}, a_i - b_i \rangle = 0$ for all i. Thus, $|c| = \deg(t^c) = \deg(t^d) = |d|$. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *If $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field, then there is a unique homogeneous lattice $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to the vector $\omega = (1, \ldots, 1)$ such that $I(X) = I(\mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. According to [24, Theorem 2.1], $I(X)$ is lattice ideal generated by homogeneous binomials. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice that defines $I(X)$. The uniqueness of $\mathcal{L}$ follows from Lemma 2.5. Thus, we need only show that $\mathcal{L}$ is homogeneous. If $a \in \mathcal{L}$, then $f = t^{a^+} - t^{a^-} \in I(\mathcal{L}) = I(X)$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1, f is homogeneous. Accordingly we have $\langle \omega, a \rangle = 0$, as required. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *If $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field, then $I(X)$ is a complete intersection if and only if there are homogeneous binomials $h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}$ in $I(X)$ such that the following conditions hold*:

(i) $\mathcal{L} = \langle \widehat{h}_1, \ldots, \widehat{h}_{s-1} \rangle$, *where $\mathcal{L}$ is the lattice that defines $I(X)$.*
(ii) $V(h_1, \ldots, h_{s-1}, t_i) = \{0\}$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, s$.
(iii) $h_i = t^{a_i^+} - t^{a_i^-}$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, s-1$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, there is a unique homogeneous lattice $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to the vector $\omega = \mathbf{1}$ such that $I(X) = I(\mathcal{L})$. The binomial $t_i^{q-1} - t_j^{q-1}$ is in $I(X)$ for all i, j. Thus, $V(I(\mathcal{L}), t_i) = \{0\}$ for all i. Therefore the result follows from Theorem 2.12. □

Corollary 4.4. *If $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field, then $I(X)$ is a binomial set theoretic complete intersection.*

Proof. $I(X)$ is a 1-dimensional graded lattice ideal [15, 24]. Thus, the result follows at once from Proposition 2.15. □

REFERENCES

[1] A. Alcántar, E. Reyes and L. Zárate, On affine toric curves in positive characteristic, Aportaciones Matemáticas, Serie Comunicaciones **27** (2000), 133–140.
[2] E. Ballico and C Fontanari, The Horace method for error-correcting codes, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **17** (2006), no. 2, 135–139.
[3] M. Barile, M. Morales, and A. Thoma, Set-theoretic complete intersections on binomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 1893-1903.
[4] I. Bermejo, I. García-Marco and J. J. Salazar-González, An algorithm for checking whether the toric ideal of an affine monomial curve is a complete intersection, J. Symbolic Comput. **(42)** (2007), 971-991.
[5] I. Bermejo, P. Gimenez, E. Reyes, and R. H. Villarreal, Complete intersections in affine monomial curves, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3) **11** (2005), 191–203.
[6] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Revised Edition, 1997.
[7] C. Delorme, Sous-monoides d'intersection complète de ℕ, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **9** (1976), 145–154.
[8] I. M. Duursma, C. Rentería and H. Tapia-Recillas, Reed-Muller codes on complete intersections, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **11** (2001), no. 6, 455–462.
[9] D. Eisenbud and B. Sturmfels, Binomial ideals, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), 1–45.
[10] S. Eliahou, *Courbes monomiales et algèbre de Rees symbolique*, PhD thesis, Université de Genève, 1983.
[11] S. Eliahou, Idéaux de définition des courbes monomiales, in *Complete Intersections* (S. Greco and R. Strano, Eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1092**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1984, pp. 229–240.
[12] S. Eliahou and R. H. Villarreal, On systems of binomials in the ideal of a toric variety, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 345–351.
[13] K. Eto, When is a binomial ideal equal to a lattice ideal up to radical?, Contemp. Math. **331** (2003), 111–118.
[14] K. Fischer, W. Morris and J. Shapiro, Affine semigroup rings that are complete intersections, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 3137–3145.
[15] A. V. Geramita, M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, Cayley-Bacharach schemes and their canonical modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), no. 1, 163–189.
[16] R. Gilmer, *Commutative Semigroup Rings*, Chicago Lectures in Math., University Press, Chicago, 1984.
[17] L. Gold, J. Little and H. Schenck, Cayley-Bacharach and evaluation codes on complete intersections, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **196** (2005), no. 1, 91–99.
[18] J. Hansen, Linkage and codes on complete intersections, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **14** (2003), no. 3, 175–185.
[19] J. Herzog, Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings, Manuscripta Math. **3** (1970), 175–193.
[20] A. Katsabekis, M. Morales and A. Thoma, Binomial generation of the radical of a lattice ideal, J. Algebra **324** (2010), no. 6, 1334–1346.
[21] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **227**, Springer, 2004.
[22] T. T. Moh, Set-theoretic complete intersections, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1985), 217–220.
[23] M. Morales and A. Thoma, Complete intersection lattice ideals, J. Algebra **284** (2005), 755–770.
[24] C. Rentería, A. Simis and R. H. Villarreal, Algebraic methods for parameterized codes and invariants of vanishing ideals over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), no. 1, 81-104.
[25] E. Sarmiento, M. Vaz Pinto and R. H. Villarreal, The minimum distance of parameterized codes on projective tori, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **22** (2011), no. 4, 249–264.
[26] A. Thoma, On the set-theoretic complete intersection problem for monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^n$ and $\mathbb{P}^n$, J. Pure Applied Algebra **104** (1995), 333-344.

[27] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **238**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Apartado Postal 14–740, 07000 Mexico City, D.F.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Apartado Postal 14–740, 07000 Mexico City, D.F.

E-mail address: `vila@math.cinvestav.mx`